LIFE Science Hub
JOURNAL OF SCIENCE
EXPANDING KNOWLEDGE ABOUT NUTRITION AND HEALTH

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Carbohydrate restriction for diabetes: rediscovering age-old wisdom - A review of the evidence

Dott. A. Colonnese[1]

1 Nutritional Biologist, Italy

1. ABSTRACT

The analyzed study is a synthesis contribution that re-examines the renewed interest in carbohydrate restriction for diabetes. The objective is to discuss whether a lower intake of carbohydrates can improve body weight, post-meal glycemia, insulinemia, and other cardiometabolic risk factors, especially in type 2 diabetes. The available material is the abstract only: it does not report an experimental sample, an intervention protocol, or new quantitative results produced directly by the authors.In the narrative of "The Choice to Live in Health or Illness" by Dr. A. Colonnese and R. Panzironi, the publication contributes to the passage stating that meal planning with a lower glycemic load can reduce glycemic variability, limit hyperinsulinemia, and lighten the workload required of pancreatic beta cells. Independent human studies confirm reductions in postprandial glycemia and some short-term improvements in glycemic control. However, the long-term persistent effects and the direct improvement of beta-cell function remain less certain and also depend on weight loss, dietary adherence, and the overall composition of the diet.

ONLINE ARTICLE

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Published: November 11, 2026
Updated: November 11, 2026

DOI 10.5281/zenodo.24140531

MISSION

LIFE Science Hub – Journal of Science was founded with the aim of making scientific knowledge accessible without giving up methodological rigour, quality of sources and authoritativeness of content. The journal promotes the dissemination of knowledge in the health, nutrition, medical and longevity sciences, building a bridge between the world of research and society.

Through articles, reviews, in-depth analyses and expert contributions, the Journal champions evidence-based medicine and science, fostering a correct interpretation of scientific data and countering misinformation. Every piece of content is developed with the aim of translating the complexity of research into understandable, useful and applicable information, while keeping intact the principles of accuracy, transparency and scientific independence.

Free from political, industrial and commercial influence, the journal stands as an authoritative and independent editorial space, dedicated to promoting scientific culture, professional development and the growth of health awareness among the public.

2. ANALYZED STUDY

The question addressed is whether carbohydrate restriction could once again become a useful nutritional strategy for people with diabetes, after having been historically used before the availability of insulin. The hypothesis discussed is straightforward: since carbohydrates are the main dietary source of glucose, reducing their intake could decrease the rise in glycemia after meals and, consequently, the need for insulin secretion or hypoglycemic therapy.Its relevance to paragraph 2.3 is therefore specific. The publication was used to support the final segment of the narrative: lower carbohydrate exposure can help contain glycemic variability and hyperinsulinemia, that is, persistently high insulin levels. However, it does not directly demonstrate the entire chain described in the book, nor does it prove that every person achieves the same result, nor does it independently document a long-term clinical benefit.Since the available material contains only the abstract, the study should be interpreted as a narrative perspective based on the literature and not as a new clinical trial. It can frame a biologically reasonable hypothesis, but it does not by itself provide a quantitative measure of the effect or original causal evidence.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS OF THE ORIGINAL STUDY

The abstract indicates that the authors discuss preliminary evidence regarding low carb diets in type 2 diabetes and, with more limited data, in type 1 diabetes. Potential areas of benefit mentioned include body weight, postprandial hyperglycemia—that is, the increase in glucose after a meal—hyperinsulinemia, and other cardiometabolic factors. This information does not equate to the description of a systematic review: the available material does not report a search strategy, study selection criteria, or risk of bias assessment.

4. RESULTS

The analyzed study does not present its own experimental results with numerical values. The abstract states that reduced-carbohydrate diets have shown preliminary evidence of benefit for weight, postprandial hyperglycemia, hyperinsulinemia, and other cardiometabolic risk factors in type 2 diabetes. However, the authors emphasize that high-quality, long-term studies are needed to clarify safety and clinical efficacy.The most conceptually relevant result for the book is the proposed direction of the effect: reducing carbohydrates can decrease glucose exposure after meals and may therefore reduce the immediate stimulus for insulin secretion. This relationship is consistent with physiology, but the abstract does not report data that would allow for quantifying the reduction, establishing the optimal carbohydrate threshold, or demonstrating a lasting relief of pancreatic beta-cell function.

5. INTERPRETATION

In simple terms, if less absorbable glucose reaches a meal, the body has to manage a potentially lower glycemic load. This can attenuate the blood glucose spike and reduce, at least in some circumstances, the amount of insulin required to bring it back to normal levels. Pancreatic beta cells are the cells that produce insulin; speaking of "lightening the functional load" means hypothesizing that they have to respond to less extensive or less frequent glycemic stimuli.The segment supported by the publication subject to the Evidence Review is therefore: lower dietary carbohydrate availability lower postprandial hyperglycemia and lower potential insulin exposure. The next step, i.e., lower chronic functional stress on beta cells and better metabolic homeostasis in the long term, is a plausible pathophysiological interpretation but is not directly demonstrated by the available abstract.It is also necessary to distinguish between lower insulinemia and better beta-cell function. A reduction in circulating insulin can result from a lower glucose stimulus, different insulin sensitivity, or both factors. It does not automatically prove that beta cells have been preserved or regenerated. For this reason, confirming the narrative requires studies that separately measure glycemia, insulin, beta-cell function, body weight, and duration of diet adherence.

6. INDEPENDENT CONFIRMING EVIDENCE

Direct confirmation of the postprandial segment comes from a randomized crossover study involving 28 people with type 2 diabetes. After six weeks on a lower-carb, higher-protein diet compared to a conventional diabetes diet, the area under the curve

for post-meal glycemia was reduced by 60%, and 24-hour glycemia by 13%. Estimated postprandial insulin secretion also decreased by 24%, while certain indices of beta-cell response to glucose improved. The crossover design allows for the comparison of the two dietary phases within the same individual; however, it remains a short-term study and does not completely separate the effect of carbohydrate reduction from that of increased protein and fat intake [1].A systematic review and meta-analysis of 23 randomized studies, involving 1,357 participants with type 2 diabetes, reinforces the idea that low carb diets can improve certain outcomes in the short term. At six months, compared to control diets, the proportion of participants with glycated hemoglobin below 6.5% was higher: 57% versus 31%, with an absolute difference of 32%. Glycated hemoglobin indicates average glucose exposure over the previous two to three months. The authors noted, however, that the benefits regarding weight, triglycerides, and insulin sensitivity tended to diminish within twelve months and that long-term data were more uncertain [2].A dose-response meta-analysis of randomized studies analyzed whether the magnitude of carbohydrate reduction was linked to metabolic changes in type 2 diabetes. The overall picture indicates a consistent relationship between greater carbohydrate restriction and reductions in glycated hemoglobin, fasting glycemia, triglycerides, and fasting insulin across many experimental comparisons. This evidence supports the transition of "lower glycemic load lower glycemic and insulin exposure," but it does not identify a single dietary composition valid for everyone, nor does it establish permanent clinical effects on its own [3].Taken together, these independent studies primarily confirm the immediate direction of the book's narrative: in adults with type 2 diabetes, a reduction in carbohydrates can lower post-meal glycemia and certain indicators of insulin demand. The confirmation is less direct for the expression "lightening the load on beta cells," because this concept requires specific measurements and results maintained over time.

7. CONFLICTING EVIDENCE

A six-week randomized trial showed that weight loss can be an important and independent determinant of metabolic improvement. Seventy-two adults with type 2 diabetes and obesity followed either a low carb, high-protein diet or a conventional diet, both designed to achieve approximately 6% weight loss. Both groups improved insulin sensitivity and beta-cell function indices, but the lower-carb diet did not improve these indices more than the conventional diet, despite better glycemic control. This result does not deny that reducing carbohydrates mitigates glycemia; however, it indicates that improvements in beta-cell function cannot be automatically attributed solely to carbohydrate restriction [4].No further directly conflicting evidence of sufficient relevance was identified; this does not prove the absence of contrary results. Differences between studies depend on duration, the actual degree of carbohydrate reduction, the quality of fats and proteins, antidiabetic medications, weight loss, and adherence to the dietary plan. These elements limit the ability to extend a result to all people with diabetes.

8. QUADRO COMPARATIVO E SINTESI DELLE EVIDENZE

The table distinguishes the publication that is the subject of the review from the independent research used to verify the book's narrative passage.

Quadro comparativo

Reference	Study type	Population or model	Main result	Direction of evidence	Strength of evidence
Original study	Narrative perspective based on literature	Not applicable; only the abstract is available	Proposes preliminary benefits of carbohydrate restriction on postprandial glycemia, insulinemia, and cardiometabolic factors	biologically consistent evidence	preliminary
[1]	Crossover RCT	28 adults with type 2 diabetes	The low carb diet reduced postprandial glycemic exposure by 60% and estimated postprandial insulin secretion by 24%	direct confirmation	moderate
[2]	Systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs	23 trials, 1,357 adults with type 2 diabetes	At six months, better glycemic control with low carb diets; benefits less clear at twelve months	indirect confirmation	moderate
[3]	Systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of RCTs	50 trials, 4,291 people with type 2 diabetes	A greater reduction in carbohydrates was associated with reductions in several glycemic and metabolic indicators	indirect confirmation	moderate
[4]	Parallel RCT	72 adults with type 2 diabetes and obesity	With equal weight loss, the low carb diet did not improve beta-cell function more than the conventional diet	discordant evidence	moderate

9. SINTESI EDITORIALE E CONCLUSIONI

CONCLUSIONS

The publication subject to the Evidence Review is consistent with the book section because it highlights the potential of carbohydrate restriction in reducing postprandial glycemia and hyperinsulinemia in type 2 diabetes. Independent evidence moderately confirms this direction, especially in the short term. It is more prudent, however, to consider the alleged stable relief of beta cells as a physiologically plausible hypothesis that has not yet been uniformly demonstrated. The effects depend on weight loss, adherence, medications, and the overall quality of the diet.

Aggiornato al 17/11/2026. Questo contenuto riflette una sintesi divulgativa delle evidenze scientifiche al momento della pubblicazione e non sostituisce il parere medico.

10. TRANSPARENCY AND SCIENTIFIC RESPONSIBILITY

Artificial Intelligence was used solely as a tool for editorial and documentary support. All content, interpretations, bibliographic citations and conclusions have been verified, reviewed and approved by the author, who assumes full scientific responsibility for them.

The manuscript was also subjected to independent peer review prior to publication.

To ensure the greatest transparency, the complete history of the article, including the dates of submission, revision, acceptance and publication, as well as information on the peer review process, is available on the dedicated Article History page.

11. RIFERIMENTI BIBLIOGRAFICI

[1] **Effects of carbohydrate restriction on postprandial glucose metabolism, b-cell function, gut hormone secretion, and satiety in patients with Type 2 diabetes**
Skytte et al., 2021
American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00165.2020

[2] **Efficacy and safety of low and very low carbohydrate diets for type 2 diabetes remission: systematic review and meta-analysis of published and unpublished randomized trial data**
Goldenberg et al., 2021
BMJ
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m4743

[3] **Dose-dependent effect of carbohydrate restriction for type 2 diabetes management: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials**
Jayedi et al., 2022
The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/nqac066

[4] **Weight loss improves b-cell function independently of dietary carbohydrate restriction in people with type 2 diabetes: A 6-week randomized controlled trial**
Thomsen et al., 2022
Frontiers in Nutrition
DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2022.933118