LIFE Science Hub
JOURNAL OF SCIENCE
EXPANDING KNOWLEDGE ABOUT NUTRITION AND HEALTH

ORIGINAL RESEARCH
ISSN 2845-7501

The association of mSin1 with mTORC2, Ras, and Akt reveals a crucial mSin1 domain involved in Akt phosphorylation - Review of the evidence

D.ssa M. Mondini[1]

1 Biologist, Italy

1. ABSTRACT

The analyzed study examined how mSin1, an essential component of the mTORC2 complex, links mTOR and AKT in laboratory-cultured HEK293T cells. Through protein fragments, immunoprecipitation, and Western blot, the authors identified regions of mSin1 involved in binding with mTOR, Rictor, and AKT. Deletion of a region comprising the AKT binding site markedly reduced AKT phosphorylation at serine 473, a chemical modification important for its full activation.In the narrative of “The Choice to Live in Health or Illness” by Dr. A. Colonnese and R. Panzironi, the publication contributes in particular to the passage stating that insulin, through PI3K, increases the functional availability of AKT to mTORC2. Independent studies confirm that SIN1 is necessary for the integrity and activity of mTORC2 and show that insulin signaling can regulate SIN1 and mTORC2. However, the mechanisms may change based on the cell type and the phosphorylation state of SIN1. Overall, the confirmation is primarily mechanistic and cellular, not clinical.

ONLINE ARTICLE

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Published: November 18, 2026
Updated: November 18, 2026

DOI 10.5281/zenodo.24334655

MISSION

LIFE Science Hub – Journal of Science was founded with the aim of making scientific knowledge accessible without giving up methodological rigour, quality of sources and authoritativeness of content. The journal promotes the dissemination of knowledge in the health, nutrition, medical and longevity sciences, building a bridge between the world of research and society.

Through articles, reviews, in-depth analyses and expert contributions, the Journal champions evidence-based medicine and science, fostering a correct interpretation of scientific data and countering misinformation. Every piece of content is developed with the aim of translating the complexity of research into understandable, useful and applicable information, while keeping intact the principles of accuracy, transparency and scientific independence.

Free from political, industrial and commercial influence, the journal stands as an authoritative and independent editorial space, dedicated to promoting scientific culture, professional development and the growth of health awareness among the public.

2. ANALYZED STUDY

The research question was whether mSin1, a structural protein of the mTORC2 complex, possesses specific regions capable of binding mTOR, Rictor, Ras, and AKT. mTORC2 is a group of proteins that helps regulate metabolism, cellular organization, and survival. AKT is a signaling protein that, when appropriately activated, transmits information to cells, including signals derived from insulin.The authors' hypothesis was that mSin1 acts as an assembly platform: a protein capable of bringing the enzyme mTOR and its target AKT into proximity, thereby promoting the phosphorylation of AKT at serine 473. This step is relevant to this section of the book because it clarifies a potential molecular level through which insulin stimulation and the PI3K pathway can make the functional interaction between AKT and mTORC2 more efficient.The study can demonstrate interactions and variations in phosphorylation in cultured and genetically manipulated cells. However, it cannot prove that the same mechanism occurs with identical intensity in human tissues, nor can it establish clinical or metabolic consequences in the whole organism on its own.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS OF THE ORIGINAL STUDY

The experiments were primarily conducted in human HEK293T cells cultured in the laboratory. The authors introduced plasmids into the cells capable of producing full-length or fragmented mSin1, mTOR, Rictor, Ras, and AKT. The produced proteins were tagged with molecular labels, which were useful for identifying and separating them from cell lysates.To evaluate which proteins were associated, immunoprecipitation was used—a technique that allows for the isolation of a protein to verify whether other proteins remain bound to it. The samples were then analyzed via Western blot, a method that detects specific proteins and, in this case, also AKT phosphorylated at serine 473.The authors also reduced the expression of Rictor or mSin1 using RNA interference, a procedure that decreases the production of the target protein. To study regulation by extracellular signals, the cells were serum-starved for 16 hours and then exposed to insulin for short periods. LY294002, an inhibitor of the PI3K pathway, as well as rapamycin and U0126, an inhibitor of the MAPK pathway, were also used. No formally defined biological sample size, quantitative statistical analyses, P-values, or confidence intervals are reported. The immunoblot images are indicated as representative of an experiment repeated twice.

4. RESULTS

The key finding is that mSin1 contains distinct zones for binding to system components. mTOR and Rictor primarily associated with the region spanning approximately amino acids 100 to 240 of mSin1. Conversely, AKT associated with a partially overlapping zone, spanning amino acids 220 to 260. In simple terms, mSin1 possesses adjacent areas that may contribute to holding the mTORC2 complex and its substrate AKT together.Reciprocally, the authors localized the mSin1 binding site within the catalytic region of mTOR, between amino acids 2148 and 2300, and in the terminal portion of Rictor. The PH domain of AKT—the region that helps AKT interact with membrane lipids and other proteins—was sufficient for association with mSin1.When cells expressed the mSin1 mutant lacking amino acids 100-275, AKT phosphorylation at serine 473 decreased markedly compared to conditions with fragments expressed at similar levels. Since this deletion removes both the mTORC2-binding region and the AKT-binding region, the result indicates that this area is necessary to maintain appropriate AKT phosphorylation in the cell model. However, it does not demonstrate which individual interaction, among the several eliminated by the mutant, is essential.The authors also observed that AKT associated with a bait protein could pull down endogenous mTOR. This association decreased after serum starvation and increased again following the addition of insulin. LY294002 reduced the association between AKT and mTOR, whereas rapamycin and U0126 did not reduce it under the experimental conditions adopted. The data therefore support regulation sensitive to insulin signals and PI3K, but do not quantify the magnitude of the increase nor demonstrate a direct interaction between all the molecules involved.

5. INTERPRETATION

For a cell, positioning AKT near mTORC2 may make it more likely that AKT receives the phosphorylation required for its full activity. The study proposes that mSin1 performs this organizational task: it is not merely a passive component of mTORC2, but may contribute to positioning AKT within the complex.The most directly documented segment is: presence of mSin1 regions that allow for association with mTORC2 and AKT increased phosphorylation of AKT at serine 473. The second segment, observed through pull-down experiments, is: serum deprivation reduced association between AKT and mTOR; addition of insulin recovery of the association; inhibition of PI3K reduction of the association.This is the level at which the publication supports the book's paragraph. The results are consistent with the idea that the availability and correct positioning of AKT represent an additional level of regulation for the insulin response. However, this is an interpretation based on HEK293T cells and proteins that are often artificially expressed. It does not equate to proof that insulin increases the physical interaction between AKT and mTORC2 in the same way across all tissues in the human body.

6. INDEPENDENT SUPPORTING EVIDENCE

Initial independent confirmation concerns the necessity of SIN1 for the functioning of mTORC2. In mammalian cells, Frias and colleagues identified mSin1 as a component of mTORC2 and showed that its reduction impairs the assembly of the complex and the ability to phosphorylate AKT. This confirms the structural step in the narrative: without SIN1, mTORC2 does not maintain its normal function toward AKT. This is a direct confirmation of the general role of mSin1, but it derives from cellular experiments and does not measure an insulin response in humans [1].A second study extensively examined insulin signaling in 3T3-L1 adipocytes, murine cells used as a model for adipose tissue. After stimulation with insulin, the phosphorylation of SIN1 at threonine 86 increased rapidly; this increase was blocked by AKT inhibitors. The authors also observed that the activity of mTORC2 isolated from the cells increased after insulin and decreased after AKT inhibition. The work therefore confirms that insulin signaling can modify SIN1 and regulate mTORC2 activity, although it describes an AKT-SIN1-mTORC2 circuit and does not measure the exact same physical AKT-mTOR association analyzed in the original study [2].Further confirmation of the PI3K-mTORC2 link comes from experiments in human and murine cells in which the lipid PtdIns(3,4,5)P3, produced by PI3K, bound to the PH domain of SIN1. This binding removed a brake exerted by SIN1 on the catalytic region of mTOR, favoring the activation of mTORC2 and the phosphorylation of AKT at serine 473. Insulin also increased the localization of SIN1 near the cell membrane in a PtdIns(3,4,5)P3-dependent manner. This evidence mechanistically confirms the PI3K SIN1/mTORC2 AKT segment of the chain described in the book [3].

7. CONFLICTING EVIDENCE

There is relevant evidence that suggests we should not consider the relationship between SIN1, mTORC2, and AKT as a one-way switch. In cells subjected to various stimuli, Liu and colleagues observed that the phosphorylation of SIN1 at threonine 86 and threonine 398 could weaken the integrity of mTORC2 and reduce the phosphorylation of AKT induced not only by insulin or IGF-1, but also by PDGF and EGF. In their model, therefore, certain modifications of SIN1 acted as a feedback brake on mTORC2 [4].This evidence does not directly contradict the data from the original study stating that insulin and PI3K regulate the association between AKT and mTOR. Rather, it shows that the outcome of SIN1 regulation can depend on the phosphorylated residue, the cell type, the signal intensity, and the active feedback network. Independent literature therefore supports the central role of SIN1, but does not justify a linear and universal representation of the insulin signal toward mTORC2.

8. QUADRO COMPARATIVO E SINTESI DELLE EVIDENZE

The table distinguishes the publication subject to the Evidence Review from the independent research used to confirm or define the limitations of the book's specific narrative.

Quadro comparativo

Reference	Study type	Population or model	Main result	Direction of evidence	Strength of evidence
Original study	Cellular and biochemical	HEK293T cells with whole proteins, fragments, and mutants	mSin1 associates mTORC2 and AKT; insulin recovers and LY294002 reduces AKT-mTOR association.	direct confirmation	moderate
[1]	Cellular and molecular	Mammalian cells with mSin1 depletion	mSin1 is necessary for mTORC2 assembly and AKT phosphorylation.	direct confirmation	moderate
[2]	Cellular, phosphoproteomic, and biochemical	Murine 3T3-L1 adipocytes, HEK293, and murine embryonic fibroblasts	Insulin increases SIN1 phosphorylation and mTORC2 activity in an AKT-dependent circuit.	indirect confirmation	moderate
[3]	Cellular and biochemical	Human and murine cells, isolated mTORC2 complexes	The PI3K product PtdIns(3,4,5)P3 activates mTORC2 via SIN1 and promotes AKT-S473.	biologically consistent evidence	moderate
[4]	Cellular and molecular	Cell lines in different growth factor contexts	Some SIN1 phosphorylations reduce mTORC2 integrity and AKT phosphorylation.	discordant evidence	moderate

9. SINTESI EDITORIALE E CONCLUSIONI

CONCLUSIONS

The analyzed study provides plausible experimental support that mSin1 helps organize the relationship between mTORC2 and AKT. In the cellular model, the presence of mSin1 regions that interact with the complex and with AKT is associated with increased AKT phosphorylation at serine 473. Insulin restores the observed association between AKT and mTOR following serum deprivation, while PI3K inhibition reduces it.

Independent research confirms that SIN1 is essential for mTORC2 activity and that the insulin-PI3K pathway can regulate this molecular node. The framework is well-supported at a mechanistic level, but remains dependent on the cellular context: some SIN1 modifications may reduce, rather than increase, mTORC2 activity. Further validation in human tissues and under physiological conditions is necessary to translate these findings into metabolic consequences for the organism.

Aggiornato al 24/11/2026. Questo contenuto riflette una sintesi divulgativa delle evidenze scientifiche al momento della pubblicazione e non sostituisce il parere medico.

10. TRANSPARENCY AND SCIENTIFIC RESPONSIBILITY

Artificial Intelligence was used solely as a tool for editorial and documentary support. All content, interpretations, bibliographic citations and conclusions have been verified, reviewed and approved by the author, who assumes full scientific responsibility for them.

The manuscript was also subjected to independent peer review prior to publication.

To ensure the greatest transparency, the complete history of the article, including the dates of submission, revision, acceptance and publication, as well as information on the peer review process, is available on the dedicated Article History page.

11. RIFERIMENTI BIBLIOGRAFICI

[1] **mSin1 Is Necessary for Akt/PKB Phosphorylation, and Its Isoforms Define Three Distinct mTORC2s**
Frias et al., 2006
Current Biology
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2006.08.001

[2] **Dynamic Adipocyte Phosphoproteome Reveals that Akt Directly Regulates mTORC2**
Humphrey et al., 2013
Cell Metabolism
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2013.04.010

[3] **PtdIns(3,4,5)**
P
3-Dependent Activation of the mTORC2 Kinase Complex
Liu et al., 2015
Cancer Discovery
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-15-0460

[4] **Sin1 phosphorylation impairs mTORC2 complex integrity and inhibits downstream Akt signalling to suppress tumorigenesis**
Liu et al., 2013
Nature Cell Biology
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb2860