LIFE Science Hub
JOURNAL OF SCIENCE
EXPANDING KNOWLEDGE ABOUT NUTRITION AND HEALTH

ORIGINAL RESEARCH
ISSN 2845-7501

The mechanistic target of rapamycin: linking T cell differentiation, function, and metabolism - A review of the evidence

Dott. A. Colonnese[1]

1 Nutritional Biologist, Italy

1. ABSTRACT

The publication analyzed is a narrative review examining how mTOR, a kinase sensitive to nutrients and growth signals, links T cell metabolism to their activation and differentiation. As only the abstract is available, no literature selection criteria, search strategies, or original quantitative results are reported in the available material.In section 6.3 of “The Choice to Live in Health or Illness” by Dr. A. Colonnese and R. Panzironi, the study contributes to the narrative that the availability of nutrients and growth factors activates mTOR and promotes the proliferation and specialization of T cells into effector subtypes, including Th1, Th2, and Th17. Independent studies on cells and mice confirm that amino acid transport supports mTORC1 and T cell expansion, and that the mTOR pathway is involved in Th17 and Th2 differentiation. However, the data indicate that mTORC1 and mTORC2 do not act identically across the various subtypes: the confirmation is primarily mechanistic and does not equate to clinical proof in humans.

ONLINE ARTICLE

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Published: November 25, 2026
Updated: November 25, 2026

DOI 10.5281/zenodo.24525137

MISSION

LIFE Science Hub – Journal of Science was founded with the aim of making scientific knowledge accessible without giving up methodological rigour, quality of sources and authoritativeness of content. The journal promotes the dissemination of knowledge in the health, nutrition, medical and longevity sciences, building a bridge between the world of research and society.

Through articles, reviews, in-depth analyses and expert contributions, the Journal champions evidence-based medicine and science, fostering a correct interpretation of scientific data and countering misinformation. Every piece of content is developed with the aim of translating the complexity of research into understandable, useful and applicable information, while keeping intact the principles of accuracy, transparency and scientific independence.

Free from political, industrial and commercial influence, the journal stands as an authoritative and independent editorial space, dedicated to promoting scientific culture, professional development and the growth of health awareness among the public.

2. ANALYZED STUDY

The question addressed by the publication is how the mTOR protein (mammalian target of rapamycin, a kinase that integrates signals from nutrients, energy, and growth factors) contributes to determining what a T cell will do after recognizing an antigen. The hypothesis discussed by the authors is that mTOR links available metabolic resources to immune function, favoring either effector or regulatory programs.The relevance to the book's section is specific. The text states that when glucose, amino acids, and growth factors are available, mTOR is activated and promotes the growth, proliferation, and specialization of T cells into pro-inflammatory Th1, Th2, and Th17 subtypes. The review's abstract directly supports the segment of the chain relating to mTOR as an integrator of amino acids, energy, and growth factors, and its role in the activation and differentiation of T cells, including effector and regulatory CD4 T cells.As it is a review and not a single experiment, the publication does not by itself prove that every single nutrient activates mTOR in all T cells, nor that mTOR activation always causes an inflammatory response. Instead, it describes a biological framework in which metabolism is part of the T cell's functional decision-making process.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS OF THE ORIGINAL STUDY

The publication is presented by the authors as a review of the knowledge available at the time regarding the role of mTOR in T cells. The available material does not report a bibliographic search strategy, databases consulted, time frames, inclusion or exclusion criteria for studies, the number of publications examined, quality assessment procedures, or statistical synthesis methods.No human participants, clinical cohorts, biological samples collected directly by the authors, experimental interventions, or follow-ups are described. The abstract states that the review considers studies concerning CD4 T cells, CD8 T cells, effector cells, regulatory T cells, T cell trafficking, and immune anergy (a state in which the T cell remains functionally inactive despite recognizing an antigen).

4. RESULTS

The central finding reported in the abstract is conceptual: mTOR is described as an integration point for signals from the immune environment, specifically amino acids, energy availability, and growth factors. In simple terms, the T cell does not just respond to the alarm represented by the antigen; it also assesses whether it has the necessary resources to grow and perform an immune function.According to the authors' summary, mTOR helps program the generation of effector CD4 T cells versus regulatory T cells, effector CD8 T cells versus immune memory cells, the movement of T cells into tissues, and the choice between activation and anergy. The abstract therefore identifies a link between metabolism and T cell function.No numerical values, sample sizes, effect measures, confidence intervals, or statistical significance values are reported in the available material. It is therefore not possible to quantify from the abstract the magnitude of the effect of mTOR on proliferation or on the individual Th1, Th2, and Th17 subtypes.

5. INTERPRETATION

For the reader, the main takeaway is that an effective immune response requires both a danger signal and the metabolic capacity to sustain it. mTOR can be considered a cellular control center: it receives information about the presence of nutrients and growth signals, and helps initiate programs for increasing cell size, cell division, and the acquisition of specific functions.The pathway supported by the publication that is the subject of the Evidence Review is therefore: availability of metabolic resources and growth factors mTOR signaling T cell activation and differentiation. This supports the mechanistic core of the book's statement, but it does not directly prove that glucose, amino acids, and growth factors have the same weight in every context, nor that mTOR indiscriminately determines all pro-inflammatory subtypes.The distinction is important because mTOR operates in at least two protein complexes, mTORC1 and mTORC2. Their effects depend on the T cell type, the immune signal received, the available nutrient, and the phase of the response. The book's narrative is therefore biologically consistent, but it should be read as a synthesis of experimental mechanisms and not as

an absolute clinical rule applicable to every person or disease.

6. INDEPENDENT SUPPORTING EVIDENCE

A first independent study examined the link further upstream in the chain described in the book: how amino acids reach the T cell and support its response. In T-cell cultures and genetically modified mice, the loss of the Slc7a5 transporter reduced the entry of large neutral amino acids, including the nutritional signal represented by leucine. Cells lacking Slc7a5 did not adequately activate mTORC1, did not complete metabolic reprogramming, and did not initiate clonal expansion or effector differentiation. This directly confirms the amino acids mTORC1 proliferation and differentiation pathway, although it primarily concerns CD8 T cells and mouse models, not patients [1].A second study verified the Th17 branch more specifically. Through inhibitors of the PI3K-AKT-mTORC1 pathway and by selectively deleting Raptor, an essential component of mTORC1, the authors observed a reduction in Th17 differentiation both in culture and in vivo in mice. The reduction was associated with lower S6K1/2 activity and modifications in factors that regulate RORγt, a protein necessary for the Th17 program. This is consistent with the book's claim that mTOR activation favors pro-inflammatory effector subtypes, but it primarily demonstrates the segment related to Th17 cells [2].A third study investigated mouse CD4 T cells lacking Raptor. The absence of this protein hindered the exit from quiescence—that is, the resting state—reduced initial activation, and prevented proper Th2 differentiation. The authors linked the effect to metabolic reprogramming controlled by mTORC1, including glycolysis, lipid synthesis, and oxidative respiration. The result strengthens the link between metabolic signaling, proliferation, and Th2 differentiation [3].Overall, these studies do not demonstrate a clinical chain in humans, but they converge on one point: the availability and utilization of nutrients are not merely background conditions. In experimental T cells, they contribute to mTOR signaling and the ability to enter an effector program. The strength of the confirmation is greater for the cellular and murine mechanism than for its translation into human physiological or pathological conditions.

7. CONFLICTING EVIDENCE

It is important to avoid interpreting mTOR as a single switch that drives all T cells in the same way. In a study on mice with selective deletion of components of the mTOR complexes, the loss of the Rheb-mTORC1 signal prevented the generation of Th1 and Th17 cells but preserved the ability to generate Th2 cells. Conversely, the loss of mTORC2 reduced Th2 differentiation while preserving Th1 and Th17 differentiation [4].This result is in tension with the study based on the loss of Raptor, in which mTORC1 was also necessary for the initiation of the Th2 program [3]. The differences may depend on the specific genetic component deleted, the phase analyzed, the experimental model, and the stimulation conditions. No directly conflicting evidence sufficiently relevant to the general role of mTOR as a link between metabolism and T cell function has been identified; this does not prove the absence of contrary results.

8. QUADRO COMPARATIVO E SINTESI DELLE EVIDENZE

The table distinguishes the publication subject to the Evidence Review from the independent experimental studies used to evaluate the book's narrative passage.

Quadro comparativo

Reference	Study type	Population or model	Main result	Direction of evidence	Strength of evidence
Original study	Narrative review	Synthesis of T-cell studies; details not reported in the available abstract	Describes mTOR as an integrator of nutrients, energy, and growth factors that links metabolism, activation, and differentiation of T cells	biologically consistent evidence	preliminary
[1]	Cellular and animal	Cultured T lymphocytes and mice with Slc7a5 deletion	Reduced amino acid transport decreased mTORC1 activation, clonal expansion, and effector differentiation	direct confirmation	moderate
[2]	Cellular and animal	CD4 T cells and mouse models with PI3K-AKT-mTORC1 pathway inhibition or Raptor loss	mTORC1 inhibition reduced Th17 differentiation in vitro and in vivo	direct confirmation	moderate
[3] and [4]	Cellular and animal	CD4 T cells and mice with Raptor, Rheb, or Rictor deletion	Raptor-mTORC1 promoted initial activation and Th2 differentiation, while a different model attributed Th2 regulation primarily to mTORC2	discordant evidence	moderate

9. SINTESI EDITORIALE E CONCLUSIONI

CONCLUSIONS

The analyzed publication consistently supports the passage in the book linking metabolic resource availability, mTOR activity, and the functional programming of T cells. Independent research confirms that amino acid intake can support mTORC1 and that this network participates in proliferation and effector differentiation, particularly in Th17 and Th2 programs.The narrative is well-supported at a mechanistic level, but requires caution regarding simplification. mTORC1 and mTORC2 have partially different functions, and the effects depend on the cell subtype and the experimental model. The available evidence does not justify direct clinical conclusions regarding nutrition, immunity, or disease treatment in humans.

Aggiornato al 25/11/2026. Questo contenuto riflette una sintesi divulgativa delle evidenze scientifiche al momento della pubblicazione e non sostituisce il parere medico.

10. TRANSPARENCY AND SCIENTIFIC RESPONSIBILITY

Artificial Intelligence was used solely as a tool for editorial and documentary support. All content, interpretations, bibliographic citations and conclusions have been verified, reviewed and approved by the author, who assumes full scientific responsibility for them.

The manuscript was also subjected to independent peer review prior to publication.

To ensure the greatest transparency, the complete history of the article, including the dates of submission, revision, acceptance and publication, as well as information on the peer review process, is available on the dedicated Article History page.

11. RIFERIMENTI BIBLIOGRAFICI

[1] **Control of amino-acid transport by antigen receptors coordinates the metabolic reprogramming essential for T cell differentiation**
Sinclair et al., 2013
Nature Immunology
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2556

[2] **PI3K-Akt-mTORC1-S6K1/2 Axis Controls Th17 Differentiation by Regulating Gfi1 Expression and Nuclear Translocation of RORγ**
Kurebayashi et al., 2012
Cell Reports
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2012.02.007

[3] **T Cell Exit from Quiescence and Differentiation into Th2 Cells Depend on Raptor-mTORC1-Mediated Metabolic Reprogramming**
Yang et al., 2013
Immunity
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2013.09.015

[4] **The kinase mTOR regulates the differentiation of helper T cells through the selective activation of signaling by mTORC1 and mTORC2**
Delgoffe et al., 2011
Nature Immunology
DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2005